MARS: Replicating Petabytes over Long Distances



GUUG 2016 Presentation by Thomas Schöbel-Theuer

Replicating Petabytes: Agenda



Long Distances: Block Level vs FS Level Long Distances: Big Cluster vs Sharding Use Cases DRBD vs MARS Light **MARS Working Principle Behaviour at Network Bottlenecks** Multinode Metadata Propagation (Lamport Clock) **Example Scenario with 4 Nodes Current Status / Future Plans**

Replication at Block Level vs FS Level









Use Cases DRBD vs MARS Light



DRBD (GPL)

Application area:

- Distances: **short** (<50 km)
- Synchronously
- Needs reliable network
 - "RAID-1 over network"
 - best with crossover cables
- Short inconsistencies during re-sync
- Under pressure: long or even permanent inconsistencies possible
- Low space overhead

MARS Light (GPL) **Application area:** Distances: any (>>50 km) Asynchronously near-synchronous modes in preparation Tolerates **unreliable network** Anytime consistency • no re-sync Under pressure: no inconsistency possibly at cost of actuality Needs >= 100GB in /mars/ for transaction logfiles dedicated spindle(s) recommended RAID with BBU recommended

MARS Working Principle





Network Bottlenecks (1) DRBD



1&1

Network Bottlenecks (2) MARS



1&1

Network Bottlenecks (3) MARS







Metadata Propagation (1)





Metadata Propagation (2)





Metadata Propagation (3)







Current Status



Source / docs at

github.com/schoebel/mars
mars-manual.pdf ~ 100 pages

light0.1stable productive on customer data since 02/2014

MARS status Feb 2016:

- > 1700 servers (shared hosting + databases)
- > 2x8 Petabyte total
- ~ 10 billions of inodes in > 3000 xfs instances
- > 8 millions of operating hours

Socket Bundling (light0.2beta) Up to 8 parallel TCP connections per resource

easily saturates 1GBit uplink between Karlsruhe/Europe and Lenexa/USA

- WIP-remote-device /dev/mars/mydata can appear anywhere
- WIP-compatibility: no kernel prepatch needed anymore

currently tested with vanilla kernels 3.2 ... 4.4



Future Plans



- md5 checksums on underlying disks
- Mass-scale clustering
- Database support / near-synchronous modes

Further challenges:

- community revision at LKML planned
- replace symlink tree with better representation
- split into 3 parts:
 - Generic brick framework
 - XIO / AIO personality (1st citizen)
 - MARS Light (1st application)
- hopefully attractive for other developers!



Appendix





Use Cases DRBD+proxy vs MARS Light



DRBD+proxy
(proprietary)Application area:□ Distances: any□ Aynchronously

Buffering in RAM
 Unreliable network leads

to frequent re-syncs

- RAM buffer gets lost
- at cost of actuality
- Long inconsistencies during re-sync
- Under pressure: permanent inconsistency possible
 High memory overhead
 Difficult scaling to k>2 nodes

MARS Light (GPL) **Application area:** Distances: **any** (>>50 km) Asynchronously near-synchronous modes in preparation Tolerates **unreliable network** Anytime consistency no re-sync Under pressure: no inconsistency possibly at cost of actuality Needs >= 100GB in /mars/ for transaction logfiles dedicated spindle(s) recommended RAID with BBU recommended Easy scaling to k>2 nodes







Bricks, Objects + Aspects (Example)



1&1

Appendix: 1&1 Wide Area Network Infrastructure





IO Latencies over loaded Metro Network (1) DRBD



1&1

IO Latencies over loaded Metro Network (2) MARS



1&1

1&1 **Performance of Socket Bundling Europe**↔**USA** Performance of long Distance Socket Bundling long.total.summary theoretical limit (max, not avg) 110 100 Average Throughput in MiB/s 90 80 70 60 50 long_1.avg long_2.avg long_3.avg long_4.avg long_5.avg long_6.avg long_8.avg long_7.avg Method

MARS FROSCON 2015 Presentation by Thomas Schöbel-Theuer